

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,007	03/24/2004	Esa Paatero	9060-228	5679
Robert M. Meeks Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B	
Post Office Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5</i> ,			2838	•
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/808,007	PAATERO, ESA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commul. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. Utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON it ill, by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 <i>April</i> 2007</u> .	•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyanche correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13,20 and 25-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, Jr. (U.S. Patent # 6,819,576) in combination with Divan et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,118,676).

Johnson, Jr. discloses claimed subject matters a power conversion apparatus (figure 2 and 3), including DC link comprising first and second bus (figure 2, item 205a and 205b), a reference bus (figure 2, item GND), first and second DC voltages (figure 2, item V1 and V2), an uninterruptible power supply (Abstract, line 1-5). However Johnson, Jr. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a pre-charge circuit, a boost converter. Divan et al. teaches the utilization of the similar technique for a pre-charge (column 11, line 60-70+), a boost converter (column 5, line 10-50). It would have been

Art Unit: 2838

obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Johnson, Jr.'s uninterruptible power supply circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Divan et al. for the purpose of dynamic voltage sag corrector. Further circuit meets the structure requirement.

4. Claims 14-19 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson, Jr. (U.S. Patent # 6,819,576) in combination with Divan et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,118,676) and further in combination with Lenk (U.S. Patent # 6,222,352).

Johnson, Jr. in combination with Divan et al. discloses claimed subject matters as explained in the claims 1-13,20 and 25 and 26-39, above, except the utilization of the technique for an inductor, a buck converter. Lenk teaches the utilization of the technique for an inductor and buck converter (figure 1 and Abstract, line 1-5). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Johnson, Jr. in combination with Divan et al.'s power supply by utilizing the technique taught by Lenk for the purpose of providing a mechanism for controlling voltage excursions on intermediate DC busses and also improve the power factor of the power supply.

5. For method claims 40-48, note that under MPEP 21 12.02, the principles of inherency, if a prior ad device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be

anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the Specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device *11 inherently perform the claimed process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Therefore the previous rejections based on the apparatus will not be repeated.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Esthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rajnikant B Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2838